# AMC Roosevelt Field 8 FlexSim Model Report 

## BY: Youssef Elmougy \& Sari AlTawabini

## Model Assumptions:

System Start Time - 8:50am (1 hour before first movie starts)
First customer groups arrival time - 9:20am
First movie start time - 9:50am

Last movie end time - 1:30am
System Stop Time - 2:30am (1 hour after last movie finishes)

## Model Settings:

Time Units - Minutes

| Movies |  |
| ---: | :--- | Genre

All numbers are approximated due to experimental purposes.

Our project is a model of the AMC Roosevelt Field 8 cinema. All the values calculated and utilized in this model are accurately approximated to mimic the real-life scenario. This report explains the realistic model in simplistic steps.


This is the complete flow chart of the model:


Breaking down the flow chart into steps:

1. Arrivals - The demand of customers arriving at the movie theatre depended on the movie, the rotten tomatoes score, and the time of the day score. We split every movie into its individual times and calculated the demand of customers for each movie time. The rotten tomatoes scores used are as follows:

| MOVIE | ROTTEN TOMATOES SCORE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ralph Breaks the Internet | $88 \%$ |
| The Grinch | $58 \%$ |
| Creed II | $83 \%$ |
| Fantastic Beasts | $39 \%$ |
| Instant Family | $82 \%$ |
| Robin Hood | $16 \%$ |
| Widows | $91 \%$ |

Having the rotten tomatoes score and the time of day score, we took each movie and calculated the demand for each movie show timing. After that, we took each individual show timing and calculated the amount of people arriving at intervals before and after the movie ( 30 mins before, 15 mins before, on time, 15 mins late) by multiplying it with percentages provided for the specific genres.

We will explain using the movie Ralph Breaks the Internet as an example (all other movies used the same calculations and logic).


Finally, we accumulated all the arrivals in one table

|  | \# Of People |
| :--- | ---: |
| 9:20am | 32 |
| 9:35am | 42 |
| $9: 50 \mathrm{am}$ | 11 |
| $10: 05 \mathrm{am}$ | 21 |
| $12: 25 \mathrm{pm}$ | 24 |
| $12: 40 \mathrm{pm}$ | 32 |
| $12: 55 \mathrm{pm}$ | 8 |
| $1: 10 \mathrm{pm}$ | 16 |
| $2: 35 \mathrm{pm}$ | 24 |
| $2: 50 \mathrm{pm}$ | 32 |


| $3: 05 \mathrm{pm}$ | 8 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $3: 20 \mathrm{pm}$ | 40 |
| $3: 35 \mathrm{pm}$ | 32 |
| $3: 50 \mathrm{pm}$ | 8 |
| $4: 05 \mathrm{pm}$ | 16 |
| $5: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ | 32 |
| $5: 45 \mathrm{pm}$ | 42 |
| $6: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ | 11 |
| $6: 15 \mathrm{pm}$ | 21 |
| $8: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ | 36 |
| $8: 45 \mathrm{pm}$ | 47 |
| $9: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ | 12 |
| $9: 15 \mathrm{pm}$ | 24 |

To incorporate the idea of customers arriving in groups, we decided to calculate the number of groups beforehand since we already know the number of customers coming into the movie theatre at a given time. The number of customer groups was calculated by taking the number of customers arriving at the specific times, then multiplying it by the percentages of the different group sizes deferring by genres ( $2,4,7,8$ ), once we generate the values we divide each value by the specific group size and add up the number of full groups created.

We will explain using the movie Ralph Breaks the Internet as an example (all other movies used


We calculated the group sizes for each number for customers arriving and therefore converting our table containing number of people arriving at specific times into a table containing number of groups arriving at the different times. The following is the amended table with number of groups and a diagram of its implementation in the source for the movie in our model:
(MODEL SETTINGS: Start Time: 8:50am, Time Units: Minutes)


Lastly, at arrivals we created a trigger of item type. This item type is the differentiator of the percentages of groups that buy items at concessions ( $0,2,4,5$ ). We created a different item type by percentage (depending on genres) for the number of items bought for each specific movie.

We will explain using the movies Ralph Breaks the Internet and The Grinch as examples (all other movies used the same calculations and logic).

| Ralph Breaks the Internet |  | 20\% of groups buying 0 items | $\longrightarrow$ | Item Type 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | 40\% of groups buying 2 items | $\longrightarrow$ | Item Type 2 |
|  |  | 30\% of groups buying 4 items | $\longrightarrow$ | Item Type 3 |
|  |  | 10\% of groups buying 5 items | $\longrightarrow$ | Item Type 4 |
| The Grinch |  | 20\% of groups buying 0 items | $\longrightarrow$ | Item Type 5 |
|  |  | 40\% of groups buying 0 items | - | Item Type 6 |
|  |  | $30 \%$ of groups buying 0 items | $\square$ | Item Type 7 |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | 10\% of groups buying 0 items | $\longrightarrow$ | Item Type 8 |



The groups then flow 50\% to get their tickets scanned and 50\% to buy tickets through either a human or electronic ticket kiosk.
2. Buying tickets or scanning tickets - To buy tickets there are options for the groups to use either one of the three human ticket kiosks or one of the three electronic ticket kiosks. To scan tickets the groups can be processed by one of the two scanning stations. The three human ticket kiosks are operated by an employee each (operator), and the two scanning stations are operated by an employee each (operator). The processing time for the ticket kiosks and the scanning stations were evaluated using Expert Fit. The following is the analysis of the appropriate distributions: (The distributions used in the different processors are circled in the following diagrams)

## Relative Evaluation of Candidate Models

| Model | Relative <br> Score | Parameters |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1- Johnson SB | 97.83 | Lower endpoint | 3.38572 |
|  |  | Upper endpoint | 12.12971 |
|  |  | Shape \#1 | -0.03885 |
|  |  | Shape \#1 | 0.65504 |
| 2- Beta | 95.65 | Lower endpoint | 3.49473 |
|  |  | Upper endpoint | 11.99735 |
|  |  | Shape \#1 | 1.10889 |
|  |  | Shape \#2 | 1.05722 |
| 3- Uniform | 93.48 | Lower endpoint | 3.51000 |
|  |  | Upper endpoint | 11.98000 |

Electronic Ticket Kiosk

Scanning Station
Relative Evaluation of Candidate Models

|  | Relative |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Model | Score | Parameters |  |
| 1- Beta | 100.00 | Lower endpoint | 0.00205 |
|  |  | Upper endpoint | 13.65796 |
|  |  | Shape \#1 | 6.33031 |
|  |  | Shape \#1 | 12.51746 |
| 2-Weibull(E) | 95.45 | Location | 0.82274 |
|  |  | Scale | 4.22478 |
|  |  | Shape | 2.80921 |
| 3- Johnson SB | 90.91 | Lower endpoint | 0.00205 |
|  |  | Upper endpoint | 11.75534 |
|  |  | Shape \#1 | 0.86215 |
|  |  | Shape \#2 | 1.80413 |



| Relative Evaluation of Candidate Models |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model | Relative Score | Parameters |  |
| 1 - Johnson SB | 99.04 | Lower endpoint Upper endpoint Shape \#1 Shape \#2 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 3.12956 \\ \hline 5.43887 \\ -0.24789 \\ 0.67414 \end{array}$ |
| 2 - Beta | 97.12 | Lower endpoint <br> Upper endpoint <br> Shape \#1 <br> Shape \#2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.15798 \\ & 5.40684 \\ & 1.31916 \\ & 1.01025 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3-Weibull(E) | 90.38 | Location <br> Scale <br> Shape | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10952 \\ & 4.58826 \\ & 8.35794 \end{aligned}$ |



The best evaluations in each case were used to produce the most accurate distributions which is subsequently put as the process time for each of the specific stations. After the groups have been processed (either bought tickets or scanned tickets), they flow out into one of either 2 ports. The first flow out port is for the groups of customers that are going straight to the movie theatre without buying any items ( 0 items). The second flow out port is for the group of customers that are going to buy items at the concession stands ( $2,4,5$ items). This flow out structure is common to all processors at this stage. We used port by case to split each of the customer groups. The customer going to port 1 are the groups with the item type relevant to the 0 items section of each movie. Therefore, following that logic and the explanation in the arrivals section, from the Ralph movie item type 1 should go to port 1, from the Grinch movie item type 5 should go to port 1 and so on. Those only include the customer groups classified as buying 0 items from each movie. The rest of the customer groups (default) go to the second port. The implementation of this in FlexSim is as follows:


The groups going to port 1 are routed to a queue, in this queue the groups are routed to their specific movie theatre by port by case, and the case is item type. For example, for the movie Ralph, its groups have the item types $1,2,3,4$ as explained in the arrivals section. The movie the Grinch will have item types $5,6,7,8$. And so on.

The groups going to port 2 are routed to a queue as well, in this queue the groups wait in line for the concession stands which is the next stage.
3. Concessions - There are ten concession stands with one employee operating each stand (operator). The process time differs depending on the number of items a customer group is going to buy. The processing time for the different number of items bought were evaluated using Expert Fit. The following is the analysis of the appropriate distributions: (The distributions used in the different processors are circled in the following diagrams)

## Buying 2 Items

Relative Evaluation of Candidate Models

| Model | Relative <br> Score | Parameters |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Weibull |  |  | Location |

## Use a Specified Distribution (Model

Selected Model:
$1 \cdot$ Weibull $\rightarrow$
Evaluation of the Selected Model: Indeterminate

Suggestion:
Additional evaluations using Comparison:
strongly recommended. See Help for mor strongly lec
information.

| When using a picklist option: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Distribution | Weibull |
| Location | 0.000000 |
| Scale | 2.879454 |
| Shape | 2.762828 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { When using code. } \\
& \text { weibull } 0.000000 .2 .8
\end{aligned}
$$

weibull( $0.000000 .2 .879454,2.762828$. stream>)


#### Abstract

Since the different number of items bought have different processing time, we used values by case, with the case being item type. Therefore, we grouped all the item types that are considered for each amount and gave it the appropriate processing time. The item types are from the before declaration of items at arrival for each movie (remember that each movie has 4 item types because a customer can buy $0,2,4$ or 5 items). The item types for buying only 2 items at concession were $2,6,10,14,18,22,26$ (one for each movie) and they used the distribution: weibull( $0,2.879454,2.762828$, getstream(current)). The item types for buying only 4 items at concession were $3,7,11,15,19,23,27$ (one for each movie) and they used the distribution: weibull( $0,4.950703,2.321897$, getstream(current)). The item types for buying only 5 items at concession were $4,8,12,16,20,24,28$ (one for each movie) and they used the distribution: beta( $0.000173,18.577212,1.821909,2.965345$, getstream(current)). The implementation of this structure in FlexSim is as follows:


    \%u popup:ValuesByCase:valuestr=Time'/
    int
    5 int case_val \(=/ \times 1\) InCase Eunction: N//*NAtag:ValueFunc*//N/item.Type/*x/;
    switch (case val)
    switch (case_val) \{


case / N n Case: $+/ /+1 / 4 / \sqrt{1} /$ : retur
case /aN) nCase: $+/ / 1 \times / 10 / \sqrt{1} /$ : return
case $n$ /ncase: $1 / 1 \times 10 / 11 /$ : return /ns Time:



case /N/nCase: $4 / / \times 1 / 18 / N /$ : return


case /N/nCase: $1 / / 4 / 7 / 1 \times /:$ return /NIme: $/ / / / N /$ weibull $(0,4.950703,2.321897$, getstream(current))/N/;









Distribution

beta $(0.000173,18.577212,1.821909,2.965345$, getstream(current))/as/

xurtcagentidal
return
case /NA/nCase: $1 / / / \times 1 / 23 / \sqrt{1 / 2}$ : return
beta $(0.000173,18.577212,1.821909,2.965345$, getstream(current))//x//;
beta $(0.000173,18.577212,1.821909,2.965345$, getstream(current))/ $/ \times 1 /$;
for buying 2
beta $(0.000173,18.577212,1.821909,2.965345$, getstream(current))/\$1/;
items
case $/ \times \times \operatorname{lnCase:~}+/ / \times 1 / 24 / \times 1 /$ : return
beta( $0.000173,18.577212,1.821909,2.965345$, getstream(current))/Ns/;

1 \}
(xa nnllote:
Case values must be positive integers.
Additional cases may be added as needed.
Use Format: \ncase 1 : recurn $10 ;$ //
return 0;

The concession stands are connected to the theatres for the different movies. Hence, the flow out of the stands uses port by case to identify the movie type of the customer and send them to their appropriate movie theatre. For example, since we already defined the item types of the Ralph movie to be $1,2,3,4$ then they are all routed to port 1 which is the Ralph movie theatre. The same logic is used for all other movies.
4. Movie theatres - This is the last stage of the project. Each movie has its own theatre. A sink is used to remove the customer groups when they reach the theatre.

## Statistics

We performed several statistics for the model. The Following are what we did:

- Wq - expected waiting time in queue
- For the queue waiting to buy tickets
- For the queue waiting to get tickets scanned
- For the queue waiting to buy concession items
- Lq-expected number of groups in queue
- For the queue waiting to buy tickets
- For the queue waiting to get tickets scanned
- For the queue waiting to buy concession items
- Ls - expected number of groups in system. This was done for the whole flow of the system.
- Ws - expected waiting time in system. This was done for the whole flow of the system.

Perhaps, the main striking issue displayed by the statistics performed is that the number of customers in the queue waiting to get tickets scanned exceeds the criteria of small queues required by the model goals (less than 15 groups waiting in line). At the same time, this affects the waiting time of that queue because it as well does not meet the low waiting time goal (entering the movie theatre before the movie starts). Due to the slow processing of scanning the tickets, and the fast processing of buying tickets, the concession queue is always empty because customers go straight to the concession stands without waiting because the number of customers is always lower than 10 (number of concessions stands available).

## Analysis of current system and recommendation of improvements

Due to the high demand on the scanning stations, we suggest that there is an increase in the number of scanning stations. This will decrease the waiting time for the groups waiting to get their tickets scanned. On the other hand, due to the fast processing of the buying ticket kiosks, we suggest that there is a reduction in the number of kiosks, as to decrease costs as well as decrease the percentage of idleness in the system.

As well as the changes to the scanning stations and ticket kiosks, we suggest the reduction of the number of concessions stands because they are almost always sitting idle throughout the whole model.

All these increases and reductions have to be both mindful of the costs, efficiency, and quality of the whole system. These recommendations have been implemented in our modified model to satisfy the model goals and increase efficiency as well as reduce costs. We have decided to add 1 more scanning station as to increase the capacity of processing in order to decrease the queue waiting time. At the same time to reduce costs we reduced the number of human ticket kiosks to only 1 instead of 3 and reduced the number of concessions stands to 5 instead of 10 . We did it while maintaining and marginally improving the quality of the system. The statistics
done on the modified system successfully reached the goals of the model and it clearly shows a higher performing system that should be implemented.

Finally, we do not feel like replacing our human service for electronic vending machines because at this point our current machines are fully capable to withstand the full demand of the system at a cost lower than $\$ 25,000$. As well as that, our human serviced stations do not have scheduled breakdowns and therefore can run for the whole shift from start to finish.

